

HC1028-10-R-2010  
Questions and Answers #1

1. Question: What is your plan for the incumbent employees in the work areas that are set-aside for AbilityOne?

Answer: The plan is to transition the current incumbent employees, where possible, to the non-profit service provider to ensure a seamless transition for the government customer. AbilityOne will implement a transition plan to gradually increase the number of employees with severe disabilities to between 60 – 75% of the direct labor. This ratio does not include supervisors, task leads, program managers, indirect labor or subcontractors.

2. Question: How does the MRTFB rate apply to a Firm Fixed Price (FFP) bid?

Answer: MRTFB for firm fixed price would apply the same way as cost-reimbursable. If you have a Labor Category, you would apply the MRTFB rate. Example: If the MRTFB rate is 2.3%, add 2.3% to the fully-burdened rate the way you would apply it to a cost-reimbursable labor rate. We do not anticipate many of the tasks to be firm fixed price. 90% will be estimated to be cost-reimbursable. The Government intends to change the term MRTFB rate to “Activity Rate”.

3. Question: Can you discuss the timeline from Request For Proposal (RFP) release to award?

Answer: The timeline from RFP to release of award is not releasable at this time. The Government plans to have the award(s) made by August 30, 2011. However, the current contract allows for option periods to extend the period of performance, should there be further delays in the award process.

4. Question: DoD 8570.01-M requires contractors to be trained depending on position and role. Will the government specify by labor category the level of expected certifications? i.e. a mid-level Computer Scientist is IAT-2 or IAT-3? Is the contractor expected to make this determination?

Answer: IA levels will be identified by the government by specific tasks.

5. Question: Will the Attendance List be posted?

Answer: Yes, it will be posted as an amendment to the FedBizOpps draft solicitation HC1028-10-R-2010 and on the JITC website - <http://jitc.fhu.disa.mil> - at the DISA T&E MSS Recompete link.

6. Question: What typically is included in an MRTFB rate? Is this a “super burden” to a separate rate? I.e. Engineer Type I \$x (standard rate) OR \$x + extra burden (MRTFB rate)?

Answer: The MRTFB rate consists of direct costs that would normally be chargeable to a task, but due to the direct cost potentially occurring in multiple tasks, the cost will be applied through the MRTFB rate. These costs would become non-billable hours to the applicable tasks. The MRTFB costs will be costs that the government will designate as

allowable MRTFB charges, i.e., DISA mandatory training. See question 2 regarding change in name to “Activity Rate”.

7. Question: Will we be provided with any due diligence information such as current number of resources providing services for each task, functional area, etc... and/or current list of tasks, in-flight projects, etc...?

Answer: Section L 1.1 of the draft RFP states: Historically under the current contracts, there are approximately 900+ full time contractor employees supporting the TEMC and JITC missions under the current combined three Omnibus contracts. Under the current contracts, the combined efforts of the contractors support approximately 600 active tasks per year. The JITC website <http://jitic.fhu.disa.mil> contains current contract information which includes the total cost of the awarded contract by contractor. Of the current tasks, 6 tasks at the total combined award value of \$10.53 million for a three year period, were issued to support the work that will now be performed by AbilityOne. Sample tasks will be included in the final RFP notice, which will be actual tasks that contain a large portion of the type of work that will be required. Section 5 of the draft RFP contains Performance Requirements.

8. Question: Why are you setting aside a portion of the current contract for AbilityOne?

Answer: The Government has determined that it is in its best interest to set aside the following areas of the current JITC Omnibus contract as a Federal Preferential Sole Source Procurement through AbilityOne in accordance with FAR 6.3 and 8.7:

- 1-custodial Indian Head (IH) only
- 2-facilities management Fort Huachuca (FH) & IH
- 3-front desk security FH & IH
- 4-visitor support FH & IH
- 5-JITC DTS Help Desk FH only
- 6-supply-logistics FH & IH
- 7-warehouse support FH & IH
- 8-reproduction FH only
- 9-groundskeeping FH only
- 10-property management support FH & IH
- 11-AV teleconference support FH & IH
- 12-Technical library FH only
- 13-mailroom FH only

9. Question: Will this conference include the engineering portion of JITC in addition to the AbilityOne work?

Answer: The conference is primarily geared to the T&E support but AbilityOne will be there to answer questions on the set-aside.

10. Question: I was wondering if there was an incumbent for this requirement (HC102810R2010)? If so, can you please provide the following:

Contractor:

Contract#:

Award Date:

Expiration:

Value:

Answer: Information on the current contracts can be found on the JITC website <http://jitc.fhu.disa.mil/> under the DISA T&E MSS Recompete link:

11. Question: We intend to participate in Solicitation Number HC1028-10-R-2010 for DISA Test and Evaluation Mission Support Services. We have a few questions regarding this pre-solicitation. Our questions are:

- 1) Is there an incumbent vendor on this task?
- 2) If there is an incumbent vendor, who is that vendor(s)?
- 3) Will this solicitation be a set aside for any category (Small Business, 8(a), etc).

Answer: See Number 10 for information regarding the incumbent. A portion of the PWS from the current contracts has been set aside for AbilityOne and was not included in the draft RFP issued for full and open competition.

12. Question: Can you please advise as to whether there is an incumbent contractor for this requirement? If so, could you please provide the contractor name and the contract number that was awarded?

Answer: See Number 10.

13. Question: Will the JITC entertain a web cast for the pre-solicitation conference on June 9, 2010?

Answer: A web cast was considered but determined not to be in the best interest of the conference.

This is a consolidation of questions posed at the pre-solicitation conference and those submitted to the Contracting Officer during the posting of the draft RFP.